The free energy of the Potts model : from the continuous to the first-order transition region.

T. Bhattacharya*, R. Lacaze** and A. Morel
Service de Physique Théorique de Saclay***
91191 Gif-sur-Yvette Cedex, France

arXiv:hep-th/9303075v1 12 Mar 1993

ABSTRACT

We present a large q expansion of the 2d q-states Potts model free energies up to order 9 in $1/\sqrt{q}$. Its analysis leads us to an ansatz which, in the first-order region, incorporates properties inferred from the known critical regime at $q = 4$, and predicts, for $q > 4$, the n^{th} energy cumulant scales as the power $(3n/2 - 2)$ of the correlation length. The parameter-free energy distributions reproduce accurately, without reference to any interface effect, the numerical data obtained in a simulation for $q = 10$ with lattices of linear dimensions up to $L = 50$. The pure phase specific heats are predicted to be much larger, at $q \leq 10$, than the values extracted from current finite size scaling analysis of extrema. Implications for safe numerical determinations of interface tensions are discussed.

SPhT-93/022
February 2008

Submitted for publication to *Europhysics Letters*

* Present address: MS B285, Group T-8, Los Alamos National Laboratory, NM 87544, U.S.A.
** Chercheur au CNRS
*** Laboratoire de la Direction des Sciences de la Matière du CEA

Much effort has been recently devoted to the 2-d Potts model, both with numerical and analytical techniques. In the former case, the goal was either to test numerical algorithms and criteria for distinguishing first-order from continuous transitions, or to learn how to extract previously unknown quantities such as the interface tension. Although much progress was accomplished, there remains some unsatisfactory issues such as, for example, slight inconsistencies in finite size scaling analysis of the energy cumulants close to the transition temperature $\beta_{\rm t}^{-1}$, and discrepancies between exact results and numerical simulations for the interface tension. This question is important since only numerical simulations can determine this quantity in other cases of physical interest such as the 3-d $q = 3$ Potts model or QCD at the deconfinement transition.

Analytical works have shown [1] that close to $\beta_{\rm t}$, the partition function Z of the Potts model, in a box of volume $V = L^2$ with periodic boundary conditions (used all through in this work), is equal to the sum of the 'partition functions' Z_i of the $q + 1$ pure phases, and a term that falls of exponentially faster in the linear size of the system. We shall presently ignore this latter term which contains, amongst others, the interface tension effects, and concentrate on the $i^{\rm th}$ phase free energy $F_i = \ln Z_i/V$, which is V independent and differentiable many times with respect to the inverse temperature β at $\beta_{\rm t}$.

In this letter, we construct explicit formulae for the ordered and disordered free energies $F_{\rm o}$ and $F_{\rm d}$ of the 2-d q-states Potts model at $q > 4$. At large q we perform their expansion in power of $1/\sqrt{q}$. At low $(q - 4)$ we conjecture their behaviour from their known critical behaviour at $q = 4$. We show that these two descriptions match in a large intermediate q region. Then, using the above rigorous result, we add up the Z_i's so obtained and make absolute predictions on energy probability densities in very good agreement with numerical data. We show that the difficulties encountered in the finite size scaling analysis of numerical data are due to very large high order cumulants, which has consequences for the extraction of interface tensions.

Many properties of the model are known exactly [2]. In particular, it exhibits a temperature driven phase transition which occurs at $\beta_{\rm t} = \ln(\sqrt{q} + 1)$. The transition is second-order for $q \leq 4$, and its critical properties are described, e.g., by the α and ν indices, which at $q = 4$ take the common value $2/3$. Accordingly,

the correlation length and the specific heat there diverge as

$$\xi_{q=4} \sim \mid \beta - \beta_{\rm t} \mid^{-2/3} \qquad , \qquad C_{q=4} \sim \mid \beta - \beta_{\rm t} \mid^{-2/3} . \tag{1}$$

Hence in the vicinity of $\beta = \beta_{\rm t}$, the ratio C/ξ remains finite at $q = 4_-$.

The first-order transition region is $q > 4$. There the energies $E_{\rm o}$ and $E_{\rm d}$ of the ordered and disordered phases respectively are exactly known at $\beta_{\rm t}$ [3]. Recent works [4,5] on the largest correlation length at $\beta_{\rm t}$ have shown a common behaviour as $q \to 4_+$

$$\xi = \frac{1}{8\sqrt{2}}\ x\ (1 + \mathcal{O}(x^{-2})) \qquad \text{with} \qquad x = \exp(\frac{\pi^2}{2 \ln \frac{1}{2}(\sqrt{q} + \sqrt{q-4})}). \tag{2}$$

These formulae show not only that ξ rapidly diverges as $q \to 4_+$, but also that the leading behaviour of Eq. (2) *is accurate over a very wide range of q values*. For example the correction term in Eq. (2) is still of the order of 1% for q as large as 75. The pure phase specific heats $C_{\rm o}$ and $C_{\rm d}$ are unknown, but their known difference vanishes when $q \to 4_+$ as $x^{-\frac{1}{2}}$. These properties are of course in accordance with the point ($q = 4, \beta = \beta_{\rm t}$) being a second-order transition point, and lead one to speculate that $C_{\rm d} \sim C_{\rm o}$ diverges as $q \to 4_+$, possibly in such a way that the ratio C/ξ is finite on both sides of $q = 4$.

First we shall take advantage of the fact that, for the correlation length and latent heat, the "small $q - 4$ " region extends in practice up to large q values, and start from the opposite end. We compute the free energy of the model in the framework of a large q expansion, extrapolate down in q as far as we can, and analyze the resulting energy cumulants as functions of x in an intermediate q-value region. Nice regularities emerge, among which a smooth behaviour of C/x is ascertained.

The large q expansion of the ordered free energy $F_{\rm o}$ (that for $F_{\rm d}$ in the disordered phase follows from duality), was obtained through the Fortuin-Kasteleyn [6] representation of the Potts model partition function

$$Z = \sum_X (\mathrm{e}^{\beta} - 1)^l q^n \tag{3}$$

where X is any configuration of bonds on a cubic lattice, l its number of bonds and n its number of connected components, or clusters of sites (two sites bound to each other belong to the same cluster, an isolated site is a cluster). The completely

ordered configuration corresponds to $n = 1$ and $l = 2V$. So the partition function can be reorganized as an expansion in $q^{-\frac{1}{2}}$ about this configuration:

$$Z_{\rm o} = q(\mathrm{e}^{\beta} - 1)^{2V} \sum_{l \geq 0, n \geq 0} N_{l,n}(V) (\frac{\mathrm{e}^{\beta} - 1}{\sqrt{q}})^{-l} q^{n - \frac{l}{2}}, \tag{4}$$

where $N_{l,n}(V)$ is the number of configurations in a volume V with l removed bonds and $n + 1$ clusters. The enumeration of all the $N_{l,n}(V)$ such that $(l - 2n) \leq M$ yields an expansion of $Z_{\rm o}$ to order M. Details will be given elsewhere [7]. To any given finite order M, a large enough volume V can be chosen to eliminate all boundary terms, so that all configurations retained correspond to *disordered islands* in a bulk *ordered phase*. We check that the sum in Eq. (4) exponentiates in V up to terms of order $M + 1$, defining a series for $F_{\rm o}$ truncated beyond order M. We have computed up to order $M = 9$, including terms up to $N_{49,20}(V)$. This series, whose first terms can be compared to existing low temperature series [8], provides us with similar series for the $k^{\rm th}$ derivative with respect to β, $F_{\rm o}^{(k)}$. At $\beta = \beta_{\rm t}$ the $k = 0$ (free energy) and $k = 1$ (internal energy) series match the exact results [3] up to $M = 9$. The $k = 2$ and 3 cases give

$$F_{\rm o}^{(2)} = \frac{16}{q} + \frac{34}{q^{3/2}} + \frac{114}{q^2} + \frac{254}{q^{5/2}} + \frac{882}{q^3} + \frac{1944}{q^{7/2}} + \frac{6128}{q^4} + \frac{13550}{q^{9/2}}, \tag{5}$$

$$F_{\rm o}^{(3)} = -\frac{64}{q} - \frac{430}{q^{3/2}} - \frac{2654}{q^2} - \frac{12186}{q^{5/2}} - \frac{57018}{q^3} - \frac{224732}{q^{7/2}} - \frac{888024}{q^4} - \frac{3164682}{q^{9/2}}. \tag{6}$$

Let us make a few comments on these expansions.

(i) $F_{\rm o}^{(2)}$ gives the specific heat $C_{\rm o} = \beta_{\rm t}^2 F_{\rm o}^{(2)}$. The $F_{\rm o}^{(3)}$ series gives $-\langle (E - E_{\rm o})^3 \rangle$, the first odd moment of the energy distribution in this phase.

(ii) All terms in each series have the same sign, $F^{(2)}$ being of course positive while $F^{(3)} < 0$ means that $E > E_{\rm o}$ is favoured with respect to $E < E_{\rm o}$.

(iii) The coefficients are fastly increasing with the order, the more so for larger values of k. This confirms the expectation that huge energy fluctuations are associated with the large correlation length, of order x in Eq. (2) when q decreases towards $q = 4$. This is expected to have a direct impact on the numerical analysis of these models, large values of the high cumulants invalidating the commonly used two gaussian formula [9].

To proceed with a quantitative analysis of $F^{(2)}$ and $F^{(3)}$ as functions of q, we conjecture for these quantities an essential singularity at $q = 4$ as ξ has and

construct Padé approximants for the series of $\ln F^{(k)}$ instead of $F^{(k)}$, i.e. take as estimates of $F^{(k)}$

$$F^{(k)}_{\rm est} = \exp\big[\ \text{Padé}(\ln F^{(k)})\big] \tag{7}$$

The result of this construction for $F^{(2)}$ and $F^{(3)}/F^{(2)}$ as functions of x for $q = 30, 20, 15, 10, 8, 7$ and 6 is summarized in Fig. 1 as a log-log plot. The error bars are rough estimates of the uncertainties resulting from the higher order terms and have been obtained by varying the degrees of the numerator and denominator of the Padé approximant. The lines represent our prejudices $F^{(2)} \simeq \text{Cst}\ x$ and $F^{(3)}/F^{(2)} \simeq \text{Cst}\ x^{3/2}$ (see below), where the constants are fixed by the $q = 10$ values. It is clear that the general trend of both quantities is well reproduced over an astonishingly large range by such simple forms.

As a by-product of this study we obtain analytical estimates for the ordered phase specific heat, which are compared to existing numerical data in Table 1.

q	$C_{\rm o}^{\rm anal}$	$C_{\rm o}^{\rm exp}$	Ref
20	5.362(3)	5.2(2)	[10]
10	18.06(4)	12.7(3)	[11]
		~ 18	[11]
		10.7(1.0)	[12]
8	37.5(4)	23.(3.)	[12]
7	71.3(1.0)	47.5(2.5)	[13]
		50.(10.)	[11]
		44.4(2.2)	[14]

Table 1

The ordered phase specific heat, our prediction compared to numerical estimates

At $q = 20$ our prediction is in good agreement with the numerical estimate. In contrast it strongly disagrees at $q \leq 10$ with the value of $C_{\rm o}$ obtained from a finite size analysis of the maximum of the specific heat measured in the coexistence regime. However it agrees at $q = 10$ with the estimate obtained in [11] at $\beta = \beta_{\rm t}$, in accordance with the rigorous statements of [1].

The large q expansion analysis supports the idea that not only does the correlation length in a pure phase diverge at $\beta = \beta_{\rm t}$, $q \to 4_+$, but also that the associated fluctuations imply divergences of the energy cumulants. For example we obtain $F_{\rm o}^{(3)} \sim -1800$ at $q = 10$ (see Fig. 1). Moreover the internal energy fluctuations behave in a way consistent with C/ξ being finite at $q = 4_+$ as it is known to be at $q = 4_-$. We then propose the following *ansatz*:

> There exists a region of $q > 4$ where the free energies around $\beta = \beta_{\rm t}$ reflect accurately the scaling properties associated with the second-order point lying at $q = 4, \beta = \ln 3$ and characterized by the corresponding critical indices α and ν.

Specifically, according to the known value $2/3$ of α, we parametrize $F_{\rm o}^{(2)}(\beta)$ at $q = 4$ and for $\beta \to (\beta_{\rm t})_+$ as

$$F_{\rm o}^{(2)}(\beta) = A\ (\beta - \beta_{\rm t})^{-2/3}. \tag{8}$$

The higher derivatives are trivially deduced and in their expressions we replace $(\beta - \beta_{\rm t})$ by (Cst $\xi^{-3/2}$) from Eq. (1) and, boldly continuing above $q = 4$ at $\beta = \beta_{\rm t}$, reexpress $F_{\rm o}^{(p+2)}$ as a function of x via Eq. (2) to get

$$F^{(p+2)} \equiv F_{\rm o}^{(p+2)}(\beta_{\rm t}) = A\ (-)^p \frac{\Gamma(2/3+p)}{\Gamma(2/3)} (Bx)^{1+3p/2}, \tag{9}$$

where the constant B takes into account proportionality constants. Thus we get the following representation for the ordered phase free energy

$$F_{\rm o}(\beta) = F(\beta_{\rm t}) - E_{\rm o}(\beta - \beta_{\rm t}) + \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} (\beta - \beta_{\rm t})^n \frac{F^{(n)}}{n!} \tag{10}$$

where we introduced the known linear term ($F^{(1)} = -E_{\rm o}$), and took $F^{(n)}$ as given by Eq. (9) for $n > 1$. Note that all the odd cumulants are negative, as we found to be the case for $F^{(3)}$ from the large q expansion. A similar expression holds for $F_{\rm d}$, starting from Eq. (8) with $(\beta - \beta_{\rm t}) \to (\beta_t - \beta)$, and replacing $E_{\rm o}$ by $E_{\rm d}$ in Eq. (10).

It is easy to sum the series Eq. (10). For later convenience, we introduce scaled temperature (v), energy (ϵ) and length ($\mathcal{S}$) variables

$$v = (\beta - \beta_{\rm t})(Bx)^{3/2} \qquad , \qquad \epsilon = \frac{E(Bx)^{1/2}}{3A} \qquad , \qquad \mathcal{S}^2 = \frac{(Bx)^2}{3A} \tag{11}$$

and end up with the following compact result

$$F_{\rm o}(\beta) = F(\beta_{\rm t}) + \frac{1}{\mathcal{S}^2}\big[-\frac{3}{4} - (\epsilon_{\rm o}+1)v + \frac{3}{4}(1+v)^{4/3}\big] \tag{12}$$

This equation is the central result of this letter. We claim that, although we neglected all regular and less singular contributions to Eq. (8), Eq. (12) summarizes accurately, over a wide range of $q > 4$ values, all the properties of the model.

Let us justify this statement by comparing the predictions of Eq. (12) for the energy distribution to data [11] taken at $q = 10$ with various L values. This distribution is obtained by inverse Laplace transform of the partition function

$$P_V(E) = N_1 \int_{\beta_0 - i\infty}^{\beta_0 + i\infty} {\rm d}\beta \Big[q \exp[VF_{\rm o}(\beta)] + \exp[VF_{\rm d}(\beta)] \Big] \exp[VE(\beta - \beta_{\rm t})] \tag{13}$$

where $F_{\rm d}$ follows from Eq. (12) by $v \to -v$ and $\epsilon_{\rm o} \to \epsilon_{\rm d}$ (this is consistent with duality up to terms of order $x^{-3/2}$ as compared to 1) and with N_1 a factor ensuring

the probability normalization. Trading $(\beta - \beta_{\rm t})$ for v and E for ϵ of Eq. (11), we get

$$P_V^{\rm o}(E) = N_2 \int_{v_{\rm o}-i\infty}^{v_{\rm o}+i\infty} {\rm d}v \exp\big[(\frac{L}{\mathcal{S}})^2[(\epsilon - \epsilon_{\rm o} - 1)v + \frac{3}{4}(1+v)^{4/3})]\big] \qquad (14)$$

Details on the computation of this integral will be given in [7] and here we limit ourselves to short remarks.

i) As a function of $\epsilon - \epsilon_{\rm o}$, $P_V^{\rm o}$ depends on q and L only through the scaled volume $(L/\mathcal{S})^2$.

ii) Any $v_0 > -1$ is suitable and the integral converges exponentially.

iii) At large $(L/\mathcal{S})$, a saddle point method can be valuable. However $(L/\mathcal{S})$ is not large in practice and there exists an energy value slightly above $E_{\rm o}$ where the saddle point value reaches $v = -1$ (metastability point).

iv) Actual computation requires numerical values of A and B. At $q = 10$ we get $A = .193$ and $B = .386$ from the large q expansion results (at other q values, slight changes have to be made according to Fig. 1).

v) At $q = 10$ the length scale is $\mathcal{S} \sim 60$, nearly 6 times the correlation length, so that for current values of L the ratio $(L/\mathcal{S})$ is hardly of order 1 !

For the above reasons, we compute the integral Eq. (14) numerically. The

results are shown together with the data of [11] on Fig. 2 for $L = 16, 20, 24$, and on Fig. 3 for $L = 36, 44, 50$. Remembering that the continuous curves are absolute predictions without any free parameter, it is quite striking to see how such a simple ansatz as Eq. (14) yields good results. Because $(L/\mathcal{S})$ is not large, they are very different from what an asymptotic expansion would give. For example $E_{\rm peak} - E_{\rm o}$ behaves effectively as $\sim 1/L$ over a wide range of L values, whereas the asymptotic expectation (saddle point method in Eq. (13)) is

$$L^2(E_{\rm o} - E_{\rm peak}) = -F_{\rm o}^{(3)}/(2F_{\rm o}^{(2)}) \sim 15, 100, 350, 1000 \quad \text{at} \quad q = 20, 10, 8, 7.$$

Marked discrepancies only appear at the external edges of the ordered (left) and disordered (right) peaks. In particular the theoretical curve levels out unduly at the bottom right of Fig. 2. This is a spurious effect : the tail of the ordered peak contributes more than the disordered phase. However it appears at a negligibly small level at larger L's, being asymptotically of order $\exp[-c\ L^2]$. As L is increased (Fig. 3), the agreement between predictions and data becomes better and better at nearly all values of E, but around the dip between the peaks. There indeed mixed phase contributions with percolating interfaces should finally win over the pure phase contributions. We consider the small departure of the theoretical curve below the data points at $L = 50$ as an evidence for the emergence of mixed phase contributions. Since the dip region is often used for the determination of the interface tension σ because strip configurations eventually yield an energy independent plateau in $P_V(E)$ with [15]

$$2\ \sigma \simeq -\frac{1}{L} \ln \frac{P_V(E_{\rm dip})}{P_V(E_{\rm peak})}, \tag{15}$$

the value and L dependence of the right hand side of Eq. (15) are interesting issues. Although in our construction this quantity diverges as L asymptotically, we unexpectedly find it roughly constant for $L \leq 50$, at a value around .11, not very far from the exact value $1/\xi_{\rm d} = 0.95$ [5]. This casts some doubt on attempts to determine σ from Eq. (15) [13,14] unless the plateau in E is seen [16,17]

Summarizing, we have shown, for the 2-d Potts model at $q > 4$, up to large q values, that the bulk properties are accurately described close to $\beta_{\rm t}$ by free energies $F_{\rm o}(\beta)$ and $F_{\rm d}(\beta)$ inferred by a simple ansatz from the known properties of the second-order point at $q = 4$. Definite expressions for $F_{\rm o}(\beta)$ and $F_{\rm d}(\beta)$ were obtained by matching this ansatz to a calculation of their large q expansion, achieved at order $(1/\sqrt{q})^9$. Our main result is expressed in Eq. (12) and illustrated

by the adequacy of the corresponding energy distribution to explain most of the features observed in numerical simulations at finite volume.

In the first-order region, each phase knows little about the existence of the other ones, and rather feels the effectively close critical point $q = 4, \beta = \beta_t$, from which it inherits nice scaling properties. This is so as long as the linear size of the box is smaller than the length scale $\mathcal{S}$, proportional to the correlation length ξ, but many times larger ($\mathcal{S} = 5$ to 7ξ in the range $q = 7$ to 20). Only at $V \geq \mathcal{S}^2$ asymptotics takes place, so that interface tension effects can show up and be measured.

Similar ideas could be applied to other situations. One such situation is the 3-d Potts model at $q \geq 3$ where the first-order regime could also be influenced by the second-order point q_{3d} situated between $q = 2$ (Ising) and $q = 3$. Then one might get some information on this critical point from numerical studies at $q \geq 3$. Another interesting case is QCD at finite temperature; although the transition is first-order, universality might be nevertheless invoked to relate its behaviour to that of the 3-d 3 states Potts model, both models sharing the same (universal) behaviour at a "close" point of parameter space.

We are aware of the fact that our ansatz describes the pure phase free energies as analytic functions of β at β_t, which they probably are not, in the same way as in field driven first-order transitions the zero-field point is an essential singularity of the free energy [18]. This question deserves further discussion, but we believe that our ansatz, although not 'analytically correct', takes into account the most significant features of the model.

It is a pleasure to thank R. Balian and A. Billoire for illuminating discussions. We also acknowledge useful conversations with B. Grossmann and T. Neuhaus.

References

1) C. Borgs and R. Kotecký, J. Stat. Phys. 61 (1990) 79; C. Borgs, R. Kotecký and S. Miracle-Solé, J. Stat. Phys. 62 (1991) 529.
2) F. Y. Wu, Rev. Mod. Phys. 54 (1982) 235.
3) R. J. Baxter, J. Phys. C6 (1973) L445.
4) A. Klümper, A. Schadschneider and J. Zittartz, Z Phys. B76 (1989) 247.
   E. Buffenoir and S. Wallon, to appear in Journal of Phys. A.
5) C. Borgs and W. Janke, *An explicit formula for the interface tension of the 2D Potts Model* Preprint FUB-HEP 13/92, HRLZ 54/92.
6) P. W. Kasteleyn and C. M. Fortuin, J. Phys. Soc. Japan **26** (Suppl.), 11 (1969).
7) T. Bhattacharya, R. Lacaze and A. Morel, in preparation.
8) I. G. Enting, J. Phys. A10 (1977) 325.
9) M. S. S. Challa, D. P. Landau and K. Binder, Phys. Rev. B34 (1986) 1841.
10) A. Billoire, T. Neuhaus and B. Berg, Nucl. Phys. B(in press).
11) A. Billoire, R. Lacaze and A. Morel, Nucl. Phys. B 370 (1992) 773.
12) J. Lee and J. M. Kosterlitz, Phys. Rev. B43 (1991) 3265.
13) W. Janke, B. Berg and M. Katoot, Nucl. Phys. B382 (1992) 649.
14) K. Rummukainen, *Multicanonical Cluster Algorithm and the 2-D 7-State Potts Model*, Preprint CERN-TH.6654/92.
15) K. Binder, Phys. Rev A25 (1982) 1699; Z. Phys. B43 (1981) 119.
18) M. E. Fisher, Physics (N.Y.) 3 (1967) 255; S. N. Isakov, Comm. Math. Phys. 95 (1984) 427.
16) B. Berg, U. Hansmann and T. Neuhaus, to appear in Proceedings "Computer Simulations Studies in Condensed Matter Physics", Athens 1992;
    B. Grossmann and M. L. Laursen, *The Confined-Deconfined Interface Tension in Quenched QCD using the Histogram Method*, Preprint HLRZ-93-7;
    A. Billoire, T. Neuhaus and B. Berg, *A Determination of Interface Free Energies*, in preparation.